

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

-	T				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,877	02/20/2004	Chi-Ho Hsu	BHT-3111-416 5091		
7:	590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BRUCE H. TROXELL SUITE 1404			NGUYEN, LINH M		
5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22041	2816			
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	An
		10/781,8	377	HSU ET AL.	0.0
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Linh M. N		2816	
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	ition appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	9SS
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the communication of the provisions of the communication of the provision of the communication of the communic	ATION. 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. lays, a reply within the sta ory period will apply and v l, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this committed the committed in the commit	nunication.
Status					
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on 20 February 20	004.		
	•	☐ This action is		•	
	nce this application is in condition for seed in accordance with the practice	r allowance excep	t for formal matter	· •	nerits is
Disposition	of Claims				
4a) 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the app of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			·
Application	Papers				
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the E e drawing(s) filed on 20 February 20 plicant-may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to be	<u>04</u> is/are: a)□ acon to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acl a)⊠ / 1.[2.[3.[nowledgment is made of a claim fo	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re lle 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National St	age
Attachment(s)					
2)	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT (s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/781,877 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 are presented in the instant application according to the Applicants' filing on 02/20/2004.

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Drawings Objection

- 2. The drawings are objected to because of lacking "Prior Art" label in figures 1 and 2.
- 3. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections/Minor Informalities

4. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 3, change ". The" to --; the --; line 4, change ". " to --; --;

Art Unit: 2816

line 9, change "potential-conversing" to -- potential-converting--;

Claim 7, line 10, change "potential-conversing" to -- potential-converting--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1, line 1, the recitation "capable of" renders the claim indefinite.

CAPABLE OF it has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Still with respect to claim 1, lines 7-8, the recitation "a first timing clock signal, which has a lower voltage potential" renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear that the signal's potential is lower than what or in other words it lacks a specified reference for the comparison.

Still with respect to claim 1, lines 10-11, the recitation "a second timing clock signal, which has a higher voltage potential" renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear that the signal's potential is higher than what or in other words it lacks a specified reference for the comparison.

With respect to claim 7, line 1, similarly as indicated above, the recitation "capable of" renders the claim indefinite. CAPABLE OF it has been held that the recitation that an element is

"capable of' performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ

Page 4

138.

Still with respect to claim 7, lines 8-9, similarly as stated above, the recitation "a first timing clock signal, which has a lower voltage potential" renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear that the signal's potential is lower than what or in other words it lacks a specified reference for the comparison.

Still with respect to claim 7, lines 11-12, similarly as indicated above, the recitation "a second timing clock signal, which has a higher voltage potential" renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear that the signal's potential is higher than what or in other words it lacks a specified reference for the comparison.

Claims 2-6 and 8-13 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because of their dependency on claim 1 and 7, respectively.

Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

.

8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamashiro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,428,040).

Art Unit: 2816

With respect to claim 1, as best understood, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a circuit for providing stable timing clock, which includes a) a step-down clamping circuit [P1, P2, 1, 2, 11, 12] which has an input side to input first voltage [P1], and an output side to output second voltage [l2]; the first voltage passing step down and clamp to output second voltage; b) an oscillating circuit [3, 5, 6, 13], which is coupled to the clamping circuit and is an oscillating circuit that takes the second voltage as a operating voltage to further generate a first timing clock signal, which has a lower voltage potential, and c) a voltage potential-conversing circuit [7], which is coupled to the oscillating circuit to convert the first timing clock signal into a second timing clock signal, which has a higher voltage potential.

With respect to claim 2, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, that the first voltage is characterized in that there are large variations for both rippling wave and voltage potential.

With respect to claim 3, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, that the second voltage is an ideal DC voltage [battery].

With respect to claim 4, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, that the second voltage is smaller than the first voltage [via step down circuit]

With respect to claim 5, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, that the step-down clamping circuit further includes a resistance [R1], a capacitance [C2], and a clamping circuit [Q15].

With respect to claim 6, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, that the clamping circuit includes a P-type metal oxide semiconductor PMOS [Q8, Q14, Q21] and an N-type metal oxide semiconductor NMOS [Q1-Q4].

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashiro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,428,040) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2.

With respect to claim 7, as best understood, Yamashiro et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a circuit for providing stable timing clock, which includes a) a step-down clamping circuit [P1, P2, 1, 2, 11, 12], to receive the first voltage [P1], and which is able to output a second voltage [l2], b) an oscillating circuit [3, 5, 6, 13], which is coupled to the clamping circuit and is an oscillating circuit that takes the second voltage as a operating voltage to further generate a first timing clock signal, which has a lower voltage potential, and c) a voltage potential-conversing circuit [7], which is coupled to the oscillating circuit to convert the first timing clock signal into a second timing clock signal, which has a higher voltage potential.

Yamashiro et al.' circuit lacks a rectifying circuit, which may convert an AC voltage into a DC voltage that is a first voltage.

The Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 3, discloses a rectifying circuit [22] for converting an AC voltage to a DC voltage.

To configure the circuit of Yamashiro et al. with a rectifying circuit, as taught by the Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, for AC to DC voltage conversion would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2816

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since the rectifier provides the capability to utilize versatile and low cost AC voltage in a DC voltage device.

With respect to claim 8, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the first voltage is characterized in that there are large variations for both rippling wave and voltage potential.

With respect to claim 9, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the rectifying circuit is comprised of a rectifier [22], a filter [C2], and a voltage limiter [2].

With respect to claim 10, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the second voltage is an ideal DC voltage.

With respect to claim 11, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the second voltage is smaller than the first voltage [via step down circuit].

With respect to claim 12, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the step-down clamping circuit further includes: a resistance [R1], a capacitance [C2], and a clamping circuit [Q15].

With respect to claim 13, the combined teaching of Yamashiro et al., Fig. 1, and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2, discloses that the clamping circuit includes a P-type metal oxide semiconductor (PMOS) [Q8, Q14, Q21] and an N-type metal oxide semiconductor NMOS [Q1-Q4].

Art Unit: 2816

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art Terashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,646,426) discloses a current mode DC/DC regulator having function for detecting input and output of current and voltage.

Prior art Toshinari et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,044,003) discloses a piezoelectric transformer-inverter.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1749. The examiner can normally be reached on Alternate Mon, Tuesday - Friday from 7:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMN

Sul du J

Page 8

DRIMARY EXAMINER